

An Effective Meta-Heuristic Cuckoo Search Algorithm for Test Suite Optimization

Manju Khari

Department of Computer Engineering,
Ambedkar Institute Of Advanced Communication Technologies and Research, Delhi, India
E-mail: manjukhari@yahoo.co.in

Prabhat Kumar

Department of Computer Engineering,
National Institute of Technology Patna, Bihar, India
E-mail: prabhat@nitp.ac.in

Keywords: optimization, nature inspired algorithm, cuckoo search, test suite, test data

Received: March 12, 2016

Automation testing is the process of generating test data without any human interventions. In recent times, nature-inspired solutions are planned, tested and validated successfully in many areas for the purpose of optimization. One such meta heuristic technique is Cuckoo Algorithm (CA) that receives its sole inspiration from the behavior of cuckoo, who has the ability to resolve complex issues using simple initial conditions and limited knowledge of the search space. This paper presents a cost effective and time efficient algorithm inspired from cuckoo for optimizing the test data. On comparing the proposed algorithm with existing Firefly Algorithm (FA) and Hill Climbing (HC) algorithms, it was found that CA outperforms both FA and HC in terms of the test data optimization process. The work done in the current study would be helpful to testers in generating optimized test data which would result in saving of both testing cost and time.

Povzetek: V prispevku je razvita izpopolnjena meta-hevristična metoda kukavičjega algoritma (Cockoo algorithm), ki temelji na reševanju zapletenih problemov z reševanjem več lokalnih preprostih.

1 Introduction

Software testing is done with the intention of finding bugs and enhancing the quality before delivering it to the client [1]. As testing is very tedious and time consuming, it is highly desirable that this cost be controlled as much as possible [2]. One way to control this cost is to reduce the data which is used for testing. Test data optimization is a process of reducing the test data sets and it can be successfully applied to black box as well as white box testing [3].

Optimization mainly focuses on reducing the number of available solutions depending upon certain parameters. Merely reducing the number of test data does not accomplish the purpose of software testing. The test data should effectively uncover all potential lapses that exist in the software or product. For carrying out test data optimization, mathematical functions are applied that can effectively filter out the required test data. The methods of finding the optimal solutions among the various available options can be regarded as the optimization problem [20]. This problem aims at maximizing or minimizing a real value function from the allowed set of solutions.

With the help of optimization, we can filter out the fittest test data that can easily test various properties related to

a software or product. Generally, test data optimization is carried out with the help of various meta-heuristic algorithms. They offer better solutions in comparison to the traditional algorithm which finds a solution based on hit and trial method. These algorithms have two components, exploration (intensification) and exploitation (diversification). Exploration means to search solution at a global scale while exploitation aims at finding a good solution in a local region. The combination of these two ensures a global optimal solution which is achievable.

This paper deals with implementation of a meta-heuristic CA for optimizing test data. In the year 2009, the behavior of a cuckoo was initially modeled by Yang [25]. Since then CA has been successfully used for solving various optimization problems [26][27][28][31][33][35][37], but to the best of author's knowledge, no study has explored the application of CA for test data optimization at such a large scale. In order to reduce the testing efforts, CA is applied for test data generation and compared with existing traditional algorithms such as FA and HC. The algorithms were applied to 50 JAVA programs. Various parameters, such as time taken by each algorithm to perform optimization, were compared using a number of iterations. It was found that CA outperformed FA and HC in each and every aspect.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the related work that has been done with context to CA, Section 3 discusses various concepts related to test data optimization, Section 4 explains the proposed methodology, Section 5 describes that has been collected for showing that proposed methodology outperformed FA and HC, Section 6 provides a detailed case study of the proposal along with the statistics applied to JAVA programs for all three algorithms, Section 7 describes the potential threats and precautions that need to be taken while implementing this algorithm and finally, Section 8 concludes the study with a discussion of the future scope.

2 Related work

Developments in the field of 'automated test data generation' were initiated in the early 70's. Articles like 'Testing large software with automated software evaluation systems' by Ramamoorthy et al., in the year 1975 [4] and 'Automatic generation of floating-point test data' by Miller et al., in the year 1976 [5], are a few examples of the early work in this field. Nevertheless, Clarke's examines [6] of the year 1976 is considered to be the first of its kind to propose an algorithm for automated test data generation which is written in FORTRAN. The automated test-data generators can be divided into three classes - random, static and dynamic. Random test data generation is easy to automate, but problematic [7][9]. Firstly, it produces a sample of the possible paths through the software under test (SUT). Secondly, it may be expensive to generate the expected output data for a large amount of input data produced. Finally, provided exceptions occur only rarely, the input domain which causes an exception is likely to be small. Random test-data generators may never hit on this small area of the input domain.

During 70's up to mid-80's, people did research on test data generation using symbolic execution. Researchers have been working on test data generation since 1975, but unfortunately, there is hardly any fully automated test data generation working tool available in the current software industry. At that time the language used for test data generation was FORTRAN. In the year 1987, Parther [7] proposed a new idea for test data generation called path prefix method. In the year 1990, Korel [8] provided a revolutionary change by generating test data dynamically based on actual value using pattern and explanatory search. In the year 1996, Ferguson [9] examined an assertion oriented and chaining approach i.e. goal-oriented test data generation [10]. Test data generation from dynamic data structure [5] has encouraged many authors to work on hybrid testing methods for detecting infeasible paths, thereby saving computational time. Mutation testing technique is used to improve the reliability of object-oriented software, authors understand the traditional mutation testing method and apply on object-oriented programs that are known as class mutation [12]. Xiao et al. [13] proposed a technique for

test data generation even if more or less path predicate is unsolvable. However, the proposed method could not provide a good coverage.

A better way can be the combination of evolutionary methods with dynamic symbolic execution [15][18], which would wipe away the disadvantages of both the approaches. Search-based methods have previously been applied for testing object-oriented software by making use of method sequences [19][20] or by the help of strongly typed genetic programming [21][22]. While creating test data for object-oriented software, since the early work of Tonella [23], the authors experimented on issues of handling the techniques that can be used to reverse engineer several design views from the source code. These techniques aim at generating test suites to achieve high quality test data using mutation testing [16][46][47][48] deployed in a search-based test generation environment. Lakhotia et al. [24] established a search based multi-objective approach in which a random search, Pareto GA and weighted GA algorithm is used for branch coverage. Various algorithms were also proposed to optimize and prioritize the test suite. Evolutionary algorithms (like CA) were among them. Amir et al. used cuckoo search for solving structural optimization tasks. It has been used in non-linear constrained optimization problems [44].

In the year 2010, Yang et al. [25] examined CA to resolve the issue of engineering design optimization. The results that were obtained proved to be better than particle swarm optimizer. In the year 2011, Rajabioun [26] analyzed CA to solve nonlinear optimization issues which are used to solve difficult problems. Chandrasekaran et al. in the year 2011 [27], substantiated a hybrid CA integrated with fuzzy logic for solving multi-objective unit commitment problems. Yildiz [28] used this algorithm select optimal machining parameters for mining operations in the year 2013. Valian et al. [29] verified CA to the forward network for two classification problems.

Sur et al. [33] compared CA to particle swarm optimization, differential evolution, and artificial bee colony algorithm and establish CA on optimizing vehicle route in the graph-based network. Gandomi et al. [31] proposed CA for the purpose of truss structure optimization. Bulatovic et al. [32] interpreted CA to solve the issues related to optimum synthesis of a six bar double dwell linkage. Swain et al. in the year 2014 [34] critiques bio-inspired CA for a neural network and applied it on noise cancellation. Prakash et al. [35] examined CA for finding out optimal scheduling in computational grid. Walton et al. [27] proved CA for gradient-free optimization. Bhandaria et al. [37] explores CA and wind driven optimization for satellite image segmentation for multilevel thresholding using Kapur's entropy. In the year 2015 Ahmed et al. [43] verified a combinatorial test suite technique using CA. This method is only applicable to the functional testing process. Wang et al. [36] investigates a robust algorithm used to enhance the searching process of CA. Elazim et al. [45] maintained CA in the multi-machine power system. Table 1 summarizes

the literature work.

The above studies show that CA has been applied for finding optimal solutions to various problems. It has been used in various applications from mining to finding routes. However, it has not been used for generating test data which can be used in testing the software products thereby reducing the efforts of the testers and developers. We propose an approach to generate test data that is optimal for performing testing in software development life cycle by using the CA.

3 Key research concepts

The concepts involved in developing the proposed algorithm along have been described in this section. This section discusses the concepts of nature inspired CA, FA, HC, and the objective function.

3.1 Cuckoo biological algorithm

It is an optimization algorithm developed by Yang et al. in the year 2009. Cuckoos are by and large known for their sweet voices, yet they have a forceful proliferation method. They lay their eggs in the nest of other host birds. If the host bird discovers that the eggs are alien then the host bird shall either discard them or abandon the nest. CA has been applied to various optimization problems. It is a nature inspired meta-heuristic algorithm which supports the theory of 'survival of the fittest' [38][41]. A number of algorithms work by beginning with an essential result and progressively adding more and more data that prompts creation of the best result from the pool of results. On the other hand, a few algorithms begin with a pool of results and come down to the best result, via disposing of the most exceedingly bad results from the pool, by thinking about among the results. CA falls into the second category of algorithms. The biological algorithms have been discussed in [39].

Each egg in a nest represents a solution and cuckoo egg represents a new solution. The algorithm aims at using the new and better solutions to replace the less good solutions. It is based on three idealized rules which are given as:

1. Cuckoo chooses one egg at a time which has to be dumped into a randomly chosen nest.
2. The nests containing high quality of eggs has to be carried forward to the next generation.
3. Available host nests is of fixed quota and laid eggs are discovered with the probability of $(0, 1)$.

CA consists of two search capabilities: local search and global search which is controlled by discovery probability. In relation to testing, using CA, we can represent the eggs present in nest, as test data giving a solution. CA will replace high quality with the low-quality eggs already present in the nest. It increases the potential of getting good quality test data for the SUT.

The advantage of using CA is that it uses only a single parameter for optimization, unlike other algorithms which makes it easier to implement and since it consists of local search and a global search, it tends to give global optimal solution to the problem under test or SUT.

3.2 Firefly algorithm

This algorithm is inspired by the nature of fireflies. It is based on the collective behavior of fireflies. Fireflies are known for their flashing behavior. The variation of the light intensity and the formulation of attractiveness are the two major factors affecting the behavior of the fireflies. They use their flashlights to attract other fireflies. The algorithm was developed by Yang in the year 2008. The main purpose of flashing lights is to attract mating partners and warn off potential predators [26][27].

The FA algorithm is based on the idealized rules. First, all the fireflies are unisexual and the attraction between is irrespective of gender. Attraction is based on the brightness of fireflies such that low brightness firefly will move towards high brightness firefly. Since light intensity tends to decrease with the increase in distance between fireflies; hence, the attractiveness is inversely proportional to the distance between the fireflies. Brightness and intensity of the fireflies are determined using the objective function.

3.3 Hill climbing algorithm

This algorithm aims at finding superior results in an incremental way. It transforms its state by software under test and if the change delivers a superior result then the addition is carried out for performing the further evaluation. It aims at finding local optimal solutions, thereby achieving a result that is globally optimal. It is an iterative algorithm that starts with a random solution to the problem with the aim of finding out a better solution. The solution is changed if an improvement is found. This process continues until no further improvement can be made in the solution. It is used widely where you want to reach goal state from starting node. It also aims at maximizing or minimizing the target function [40]. Many variants of HC are available like steepest ascent HC, stochastic HC, random restart HC [20].

HC starts with the process of assigning the random coordinates to each test data. Then, first test data is taken as input and its neighbors are discovered. The objective function values are compared to the neighbors and the selected test data and best one is chosen as optimal test data. This process is iterated for every original test data. Finally, the optimized test data is produced by HC for the given SUT.

3.4 Objective function

This function is used to maximize / minimize some numerical values and is often used for finding the optimal solution for a given problem. In the provided domain the objective function's best value is selected by evaluating its objective

Year	Author	Key points
1975	Ramamoorthy et al. [4]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The paper explores the main features of automated software tools and various software evaluation system, which were available. • Automated software tools were chosen because it has been found to be valuable to improve the reliability of a software.
1976	Miller et al. [5]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two examples i.e. a matrix factorization subroutine and a sorting method are used to describe the types of data generation problems. They are used instead of symbolic execution to generate test data. • The programs with floating-point data are used for large savings of time and storage are made possible.
1976	Clarke [6]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The system proposed to generate test data for programs written in ANSI Fortran. • System symbolically executes the path and creates a set of constraints on the program's input variables. • It uses linear programming when the set of constraints are linear.
1987	Prather et al. [7]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The novel technique i.e. "adaptive" is analysed for selection of subsequent paths and offers considerable advantages over existing strategies in its computational requirements. • Method ensures branch coverage and offers a considerable advantage in its computational requirements.
1990	Korel [8]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The approach for generating test data is extended to programs with dynamic data structures and a search based method on dynamic data-flow analysis, along with backtracking is presented. • In the approach, values of array indexes and pointers are used.
1996	Ferguson et al. [9]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The chaining approach for automated software test data generation, which is based on the theory of execution-oriented test data generation and also used for the search process. • The approach used significantly improves the test data generation compared to the existing methods.
1996	Korel et al. [10]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The assertions are used to generate test data and is considered a tool for automatic runtime detection of software errors. • The assertion is violated reducing to the problem of finding program input on which a selected statement is executed. It is done with the help of white box testing.
2000	Frohlich et al. [11]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors experiments, how test suites with a given coverage level can be automatically generated from state chart diagrams. • It is done by mapping the state chart elements to the STRIPS planning language.
2000	Kim et al. [12]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The authors examine the Class Mutation technique that assesses the quality of test data distinguish between mutated programs from the original program. • It is complimented with the help of the results of the case study, which were tested to investigate the applicability of the technique.
2001	Ernst et al. [15]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors explore three results • Describes techniques for dynamically discovering invariants. • Reports on the Daikin's application to two sets of the program. • Analyzes scalability issues.
2004	McMinn [20]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The authors reviewed Meta-heuristic search techniques are high-level frameworks. • It uses heuristics to seek solutions for combinatorial problems at a reasonable computational cost.
2005	Tonella [23]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This proceeding describes some of the most advanced techniques that can be employed to reverse engineer several design views from the source code.
2006	Wappler et al. [21]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The authors investigate a tree-based representation of method call sequences that search for numeric test data. • It automatically generates test programs that represent object-oriented unit test data.
2007	Xiao et al. [13]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The paper experiments various automated test generation techniques but chooses goal oriented approach. • The goal-oriented approach as a promising approach to devising automated test-data generators using optimization techniques.
2007	Harman [14]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors examine optimization techniques on seven application of software engineering. • Optimization techniques evolved from the operational research and metaheuristic research.
2008	Sofokleous et al. [18]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors prove dynamic test data generation framework based on genetic algorithms. • They are the Batch-Optimistic and the Close-Up that provide an optimum set of test data with respect to the condition coverage criterion.
2010	Papadakis et al. [16]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors compares an approach conjoins program transformation and dynamic symbolic execution techniques in order to automate successfully the test generation process.
2010	Yang et al. [25]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors provide extensive comparison study using some standard test functions and newly designed stochastic test functions. • Examines CA to solve engineering design optimization problems.
2011	Fraser et al. [17]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EVOSUITE is critiqued, a search-based approach that optimizes test suites for satisfying distinct coverage goals. • It achieves up to 18 times the coverage of a traditional approach.
2011	Rajabioun [26]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors observe CA which is suitable for continuous nonlinear optimization problems.
2011	Valian [29]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors interpret an algorithm which is employed for training feedforward neural networks for two benchmark classification problems.
2012	Walton et al. [27]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New modified CA robust algorithm has been analysed, modification of CA involves the addition of information exchange between the best solutions.
2012	Prakash et al. [35]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CA examines an Optimal Job Scheduling in Grid computational resource allocation and Resource Discovery.
2013	Gandomi et al. [44]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The CA proves for solving structural optimization tasks with Leivy flights is first verified using a nonlinear constrained optimization problem and also validation against structural engineering optimization problem.
2013	Civicioglu et al. [30]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The numerical optimization problem-solving successes of the proposed CA has been compared statistically over 50 different benchmark functions.
2013	Yildiz et al. [28]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors examine a hybrid optimization approach based on differential evolution algorithm and also having receptor editing property of the immune system.
2013	Gandomi et al. [31]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors examine CA to solve truss optimization problems. • It also adds unique search features used in the proposed CA.
2013	Bulatovic et al. [32]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CA scrutinizes the procedure of optimum synthesis of mechanism parameters. • The paper also highlights the dimensional synthesis of a six-bar linkage with turning kinematic pairs.
2014	Sur et al. [33]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modified CA analyzes for discrete problem domain like that of the graph based problem and other combinatorial optimization problems.
2014	Swain et al. [34]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The authors verify CA for noise removal from a signal. • It uses trained network to remove noise from sine signal, which was contaminated, with white Gaussian noise.
2014	Bhandaria et al. [37]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors examine on image segmentation is to extract meaningful objects by CA and wind driven optimization using entropy.
2015	Ahmed et al. [43]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CA maintains to construct optimized combinatorial sets. • The strategy consists of different algorithms for construction.
2016	Wang et al. [36]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In robust CS, the pitch adjustment operation in harmony search (HS) that can be considered as a mutation operator is added to the process of the cuckoo updating to speed up convergence. • It is used for enhancing the capability of CS.
2016	Elazim et al. [45]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CS verifies for optimal Power System Stabilizers (PSSs) design in a multi-machine power system. • The design problem of PSS is formulated as an optimization problem.

Table 1: List of research paper included for literature work.

function [42]. The objective function is used to describe the closeness of a given design solution to achieving its aims in terms of numerical value. It works as a single figure of merit for determining the quality of the test data which can be compared to the objective function value of other test data. By this, we can compare the results and choose the better quality test data, hence optimal test data.

In this paper, we have considered the Sphere objective function [43]. The two major types of objective functions are:

- For Multi-Objective Optimization Problems: Some problems have multiple criteria to fulfill a particular objective [42]. They are: “Chakong and Haimes Function, Binh and Korn Function, Fonseca and Fleming Function, Test Function, Kursave Function, Schaffer Function N.1, Poloni’s Two Objective Function”.
- For Single Objective Optimization Problems: Some problems have single criteria to fulfill a particular objective hence it is not guaranteed that there may exist a single solution that will satisfy a particular objective [42]. They are: “Levi Function, Rosenbrock Function, Booth Function, Beale Function, Sphere Function, Three Hump Camel Function, Goldstein Price Function, and Cross in Tray Function”.

4 Proposed algorithm

This section explains the proposed methodology that has been applied for optimizing test data. The technique is based upon the natural behavior of cuckoo for producing optimized test data that can be used for carrying out software testing efficiently thereby, reducing the cost of the product. The proposed technique uses the concept of exploration and exploitation for carrying out test data analysis and finding out the result which is optimal in nature.

The approach devised is mainly applicable to path testing. It ensures that proper path and code coverage is achieved with the help of optimized test data. Initially, ample amount of test data for the program or software under test is generated. These initial test data are analogous to the eggs which are laid by cuckoo. The paths on which testing needs to be performed are equivalent to the nests where the fitness of the eggs needs to be judged. Just as a cuckoo searches for a random nest for disposing of its eggs, in the same way, a test data is picked up randomly which is disposed of in a random path. The host bird can discard the egg or the path itself depending upon the conditions. Similarly, the chosen test data is validated with the chosen path. If they are found to be compatible then the test data is kept in that path else it is kept in another path named buffer.

In simple words, a test data and a path are chosen at random. If the chosen test data belongs to that path then the test data is kept there itself, else it is kept in another path called buffer. Initially, each test data undergoes this process unless the initial list of test data becomes empty. Once

this initial list of test data becomes empty, we consider another list called buffer. This is an extra path or nest which keeps those test data for which a compatible path was not found in first go. Before further picking of nests and eggs, the test data with a minimum value of objective function is discarded from each path. For further evaluations, random picking of test data is done from the buffer list and not from the initial list. A test data is picked up randomly along with a path. If the test data belongs to that path then that test data is kept in that path only, else it remains in the buffer. This process continues until the buffer list becomes empty. Every time when a match is found, the objective function is applied to the available test data. The test data with a minimum value of the objective function is discarded every time. At the end, when the list empties, the optimized test data belonging to a particular path are obtained. There might be a case that a path might be discarded which means that no test data might be available for evaluation purpose. In such data, the path becomes obsolete and it becomes ineligible for performing testing.

Depending upon the type of test data, different values are fed into the objective function for reaching optimized results for a particular path. If the test data is of a numerical type, then the values are directly fed into the objective function for carrying out analysis and finding the value. For string type of test data, the value that is fed into the objective function is the average of the ASCII values of individual characters. Characters can be alphabetical or special characters. For example, let the test data which has been given for evaluation be ‘mam’ then the value that we will be opting for putting into objective function can be evaluated as mentioned in equation 1

$m=109$ (ASCII value of m), $a=97$ (ASCII value of a)

$$mam = (109 + 97 + 109)/3 = 105. \quad (1)$$

105 is given as input to the objective function which helps in determining the optimality of the test data which are of type string. The pseudo code for the CA is depicted below:

Pseudo code of CA

Declaration

initlist: *initial list.*
 buff: *buffer or temporary list.*
 Input: *Program under test.*
 Output: *Optimize test data.*

1. Initialize test data for the whole program in a path or nest in a list called *initlist*.
2. Separate paths or nests for the program.
3. Initialize objective function.
4. While (*initlist!=empty*)
 - (a) Pick a random test data or egg
 - (b) Pick a random path or nest
 - i. If (the chosen test data belong to the chosen path)
 - A. Keep it in the path or nest
 - B. Remove it from the initlist or path
 - ii. Else
 - A. Keep it in a list or nest call buff
 - B. Remove it from the initlist
 - iii. End if
5. End while
6. For each path or nest
 - (a) Find objective function for each test data using formula in equation 1
 - (b) Find out test data or egg with minimum value of objective function and remove it
7. End for
8. While (*buff!=empty*)
 - (a) Select a test data or egg randomly
 - (b) Select a path or nest randomly
 - i. If (test data or egg belong to that path or nest)
 - A. Keep it in that path or nest
 - B. Remove it from buff list or nest
 - ii. Else
 - A. Put it back in the buff list or nest
 - iii. End if
 - (c) Calculate value of the objective function for each test or egg data for the path or nest opted.
 - (d) Remove the test data or egg with a minimum value of the objective function from the path or nest.
9. End while
10. Optimized test data or eggs for each path or nest.

5 Data collection

Evaluating the performance of any technique requires selecting certain subject programs which form the basis for evaluation. To evaluate the performance of our proposed algorithm and to compare it with other algorithms, we have selected fifty real time programs written in Java language. The subject programs were chosen for test data generation and optimization activity has been discussed in the following subsection. The size of programs ranges from 30 to 250 lines of source code. A diversified range of programs were chosen including mathematical problems such as finding roots of quadratic equation, triangle classification problem,

computing the median of the triangle; general logical problems such as checking for the Armstrong number, magic number, palindrome number; Pythagorean triplet, convert a number to hexadecimal, octal or binary. All these programs are written in standard Java language that makes it easier to work with.

To discuss the advantage of our algorithm over other techniques, we have developed an analytical framework. This framework evaluates our algorithm and compares it with other algorithms on the basis of four parameters: optimized test data, iteration, duration, and complexity.

5.1 List of programs

Table 2 lists the JAVA programs used for the analysis of the algorithms.

Program	Description
P1.	Find greatest of three given numbers.
P2.	Find if a number is even or odd.
P3.	Find if a number is positive or negative.
P4.	Find if a year is a leap or not.
P5.	Find if a number is multiple of 2,3,5,7 or not.
P6.	Find smallest of three numbers.
P7.	Find the area of figures depending upon choice entered by the user.
P8.	Find if a number id perfect square or not.
P9.	Find if a number is in powers of two or not.
P10.	Check if the number entered is palindrome or not.
P11.	Find if a number is Armstrong number or not.
P12.	Find if a number is perfect or not.
P13.	Find if a number is a magic number or not.
P14.	Find if a number is prime or not.
P15.	Find the type of character entered by the user (character, special character, number).
P16.	Find if a string entered is palindrome or not.
P17.	Perform a calculation on the entered numbers depending upon the choice.
P18.	Find if a triangle is a scalene, equilateral or isosceles.
P19.	Find if the given three numbers entered from a Pythagorean triplet or not.
P20.	Find if the number entered is a single digit number or not.
P21.	Calculate bonus depending upon the number of extra days entered by the user.
P22.	Find the quadrant of the points entered by the user.
P23.	Find types of roots entered by the user.
P24.	Implement linear search.
P25.	Implement a binary search
P26.	Convert a number to binary, hexadecimal or octal entered by the user.
P27.	Find greatest common divisor of the entered two numbers.
P28.	Find least common multiple of entered two numbers.
P29.	Find if the number entered is strong or not.
P30.	Calculate bill depending upon the units entered by the user.
P31.	Find if a triangle is right-angled or not.
P32.	Find the volume of the given figure.
P33.	Find the total surface area of a given figure.
P34.	Find the total surface area of a given figure.
P35.	Convert the temperature from Celsius to Fahrenheit, Kelvin to Celsius and vice-versa.
P36.	Find S.T.D codes or states depending upon the value entered by the user.
P37.	To allot section to a student depending upon the marks obtained.
P38.	Calculate profit or loss.
P39.	Find details of any element depending upon the element entered by the user.
P40.	Find if the string entered by the user starts with a vowel or not.
P41.	Find the strength of a password.
P42.	Find the sum of three numbers entered by the user.

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page	
Program	Description
P43.	Interconvert currencies.
P44.	Calculate B.M.I after entering height and weight.
P45.	Convert interconvert seconds, minutes and hours.
P46.	Calculate simple interest.
P47.	Calculate compound interest.
P48.	Calculate factors of given number.
P49.	Determine if the given series are in A.P or G.P.
P50.	Find the capital of a given state or vice versa.

Table 2: List of JAVA program used as a program under test

5.2 Metrics for evaluation

The following metrics were considered for the evaluation and comparison of the proposed work with the existing FA and HC algorithms:

1. *Number of Optimized Test data*: This is the main focus of this research paper as the proposed algorithm aims at reducing the number of test data for any given path of any particular program. FA, HC and proposed algorithm using CA were implemented on the programs and it was observed that good results were given by proposed algorithm. The number of optimized test data was counted by embedding a counter in the source code of each program. For each optimized test data *optCount* (O_C) can be defined as in equation 2:

$$O_C = O_C + 1 \quad (2)$$

2. *Iterations*: Number of iterations while applying the algorithm and finding out test data was calculated for FA, HC and proposed CA. A counter for counting a number of lines of execution was embedded in each program for all three algorithms. After the execution of each program under test, the counter was incremented for finding out a number of lines of execution. For each optimized test data *iterations Count* (I_C) can be defined as in equation 3:

$$I_C = I_C + 1 \quad (3)$$

3. *Duration*: This metric refers to the execution time of the program. The code for calculating the time of execution was embedded in every program. *Initial time* (I_T) and *final time* (F_T) after completion were noted and the two were subtracted for finding out the time period of execution. Therefore, *Time of execution/ Duration* (E_T) can be represented in equation 4:

$$E_T = F_T - I_T \quad (4)$$

4. *Cyclomatic Complexity*: The complexity of the codes were calculated using a plugin of Eclipse IDE tool, Metrics. It takes the programs for which the complexity has to be generated as the input. It was found that CA gave better results as compared to FA and HC.

McCabe Cyclomatic Complexity (C_{MC}) where, number of edges (E) in control flow graph and number of nodes (N) as in equation 5:

$$C_{MC} = E - N + 2 \quad (5)$$

6 Analytical evaluation and comparison

6.1 Case study

In this section, we consider a case study of calculating bonus of an employee depending upon the number of days he has worked extra. The detailed results are generated after evaluating a particular case study with the help of CA. The example shows how CA can be employed to find out optimized test data. The case study has three paths; one in which the number of days is in between 1-5, second in which the number of days is in between 6-10, and the third path in which the number of days is in between 11-15. The following sections show the detailed view of each and every iteration involved while evaluating the case study. The initial list (*initlist*) of test data is given as 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16. There are three paths in the program that are named as *Path 1*, *Path 2*, and *Path 3*. The test data in terms of path are given as: *Path 1* = 1,2,3,4,5,6 ; *Path 2* = 7,8,9,10 ; *Path 3* = 11,12,13,14,15,16.

Now in the first iteration, the cuckoo bird picks up a test data and a particular nest which is termed as a path. The lists of the three paths are given as one, two and three. If both of them are compatible then the chosen test data is put into that path else it is kept in a nest called buff (buffer/temporary list) which does not discard them completely. The first iteration result is shown in the following Table 3, which gives the chosen test data, chosen path and the path to which the test data has been added.

Table 4 shows the lists after the first iteration along with the objective function value.

After the first iteration, the test data / eggs with a minimum value of objective functions are removed from the lists. The modified lists are mentioned in table 5.

Now the test data are again picked randomly from the buff list and again a random path is chosen. If both are compatible then the test data is added to the list of the corresponding path else it is kept in the buff list. This process continues until the buff list becomes empty. Every time the nest and the egg are compatible then the egg with the least value of the objective function will be removed from the nest or path. The egg was chosen, the nest was chosen, status if it has been added or not in the list, and value removed from the list has been shown in the following table 6.

Once the buff list is empty then the optimized test data are obtained for each path. The number of optimized test data for each and every path is 1. Table 7 shows the path-wise total number of test data along with optimized test

Chosen egg/test data	Chosen path/nest	Nest to which the egg/test data has been added
8	Two	Two
11	One	Buff
3	Three	Buff
2	One	One
4	Two	Buff
13	Three	Three
15	One	Buff
5	One	One
6	Two	Buff
16	Three	Three
12	One	Buff
14	One	Buff
9	One	Buff
1	Two	Buff
7	Two	Two
10	Two	Two

Table 3: Results after Iteration 1 of CA

data, Iteration and Complexity results obtained after applying FA, HC, and CA on the case study mentioned in section 6.

6.2 Results for 50 programs

Table 7 shows comparative results for JAVA programs after applying FA, HC, and CA on them. The results for various parameters have been depicted in Table 8. The obtained results show that CA achieves best results in terms of optimized test data, duration, iteration, and complexity. Fig1 - Fig4 provides graphical comparisons of the algorithms using the aforementioned metrics.

Figure 1 shows the number of optimized test data using FA, HC, and CA along with the total number of test data. In this figure line plots the number of optimized test data and a number of programs showing the maximum optimized result of CA. The square line represents test data using FA, triangle line shows the number of optimized test data using HC, cross line represent a number of test data using CA and the total number of test data are represented by diamond lines.

Through statically analysis, we can check whether the performance of all the algorithms is similar or not. As the theory of ANOVA states that for the larger value of computed value of F proves its better capability. To fulfill this objective ANOVA two-factor without replication for that we have to set null hypothesis, which states: H_0 : There is not a significant difference between the various programs among different algorithms. To check the significance of the algorithms, authors are using ANOVA for optimization of test suite. In Table 9, 10, 11 and 12 Rows are Numbers of programs and Columns indicated all algorithms. The result




Figure 1: The comparison of FA, HC, and CA on number of optimized test data

is shown in Table 9.

Since the computed values of F test statistic (21) is greater than that of critical value (1.48) in terms of number of programs and in terms of algorithms computed values of F test statistic (15.7) is greater than that of critical value (3) at 5 % level of significance, H_0 is not accepted and hence the result of optimized test suite differs significantly.

Similarly, other performance parameters like execution time and H_0 rejected their respective null hypothesis at 5% level of significance. For execution time the computed values of F test statistics (3.14) is greater than that of critical value (1.48) for number of programs and for different algorithms computed values of F test statistics (18.5) is greater than that of critical value (3) at 5% level of significance, so H_0 cannot accept and hence the duration of execution time of the test suite differs significantly. Table 10 shows the result.

Figure 2 line plots show the comparison between FA, HC, and CA based on the time taken by the respective algorithms to optimize the test suite of the JAVA programs. The diamond line represents time taken by FA and the square line shows time taken by HC and triangle line plots in their presentation of CA.




Figure 2: The comparison of FA, HC, and CA on duration of test data

Figure 3 gives the graphical representation of compared result in terms of iteration according to the result is shown in table 8. We analyze that the CA performs the best due to its cumulative response. FA always calculate iteration depending on each path separately. The diamond line represents FA, the square line represents HC, and the triangle line plotting represents CA.

List one	Objective Function value	List two	Objective function value	List three	Objective function value	Buff
2	4	8	64	13	169	11
5	25	7	49	16	256	3
-	-	10	100	-	-	4
-	-	-	-	-	-	15
-	-	-	-	-	-	6
-	-	-	-	-	-	12
-	-	-	-	-	-	14
-	-	-	-	-	-	9
-	-	-	-	-	-	1

Table 4: lists which include objective function

List one	List two	List three
5	8	16
-	10	-

Table 5: Updated lists after removing minimum value objective function test data.

Test Data	Path	Status	Value removed
9	One	Not compatible	-
4	Three	Not compatible	-
12	Three	Compatible	12
9	Two	Compatible	8
6	Two	Not compatible	-
3	Three	Not compatible	-
15	One	Not compatible	-
3	Two	Not compatible	-
6	Three	Not compatible	-
4	Two	Not compatible	-
14	Two	Not compatible	-
15	Two	Not compatible	-
15	Three	Compatible	15
6	Three	Not compatible	-
11	Three	Compatible	11
6	One	Compatible	6
1	One	Compatible	1
14	One	Not compatible	-
3	One	Compatible	2
14	Three	Compatible	14

Table 6: Status of egg and nest chosen




Figure 3: The comparison of FA, HC, and CA on Iteration of test data

For the Iteration, statically the computed value of F test statistics (5.5) is greater than that of critical value (1.48) for Rows and in Columns the computed value of F test statistics (71.7) is greater than that of critical value (3) at 5% level of significance, H_0 is not accepted and hence, the iteration differs significantly. Table 11 shows the result.

Figure 4 gives the graphical representation of running time complexity of JAVA programs. CA run time complexity is based on Big O notation. CA outperforms as compared to rest of the algorithms. The diamond line represents time taken by FA, the square line shows time taken by HC and triangle line plotting represents CA.




Figure 4: The comparison of FA, HC, and CA on complexity of test data

Statically analyzing the cyclomatic Complexity, we find

Main Iteration		Optimized test data			Iterations			Complexity		
Path number	Test data	HC	CA	FA	HC	CA	FA	HC	CA	FA
1	6	6	1	2	204	114	47	3.25	1.88	2.38
2	4	4	1	1	76		27	3.25		2.38
3	6	6	1	2	211		47	3.25		2.38

Table 7: Final compared result using the three algorithms on a case study

that, the computed value of F test statistics (3.8) is greater than that of critical value (1.48) for Rows and in Columns the computed value of F test statistics (113) is greater than that of critical value (3) at 5% level of significance, H_0 is not accepted and hence, the iteration differs significantly. Table 12 shows the result.

In Table 13, descriptive statistics for the result of test data of FA, HC, and CA algorithm are been mentioned. The given descriptive statistics include: mean, standard error, median, mode, and standard deviation. At 95 % confidence, authors can say that the result of optimized test data of CA which shows much-improved performance as compared to FA and HC.

The descriptive statistics for the execution time of test data using FA, HA, and CA algorithm is mentioned in Table 14. At 95% confidence, authors can say that the duration time of CA is shown much-improved performance as compared to FA and HA.

The descriptive statistics for the Iteration of test data using FA, HC, and CA algorithm is mentioned in Table 15. At 95% confidence, authors can say that the execution time of CA is testifying much-improved performance as compared to FA and HC.

The descriptive statistics for the Cyclomatic complexity of test data using FA, HC, and CA algorithm is mentioned in Table 16. At 95% confidence, authors can say that the execution time of CA is testifying much-improved performance as compared to FA and HC.

The performance of the algorithms has been analyzed statistically. ANOVA two-factor without replication has been implemented to check the significant difference among the various algorithms. Descriptive statistics also be performed and the confidence interval is built on the basis of average and standard error. Statistically, it was found that the performance of CA is better than that of FA and HA algorithms.

7 Threats

7.1 Internal threats

- The initial test data were generated by making use of the worst case analysis.
- The optimized test data were produced although the approach that we have adopted is purely randomized.
- This algorithm might take some amount of time to

make the buff list empty and hence, the process needs to be continued till it becomes empty.

- It may happen that a test data which does not belong to any path will be there in the buff list which would mean that the desired results might not be obtained.

External threats

- This algorithm is only applied to Java based programs and has also not been applied to any other application.

Construction threats

- The above program needs Java development kit and Java runtime environment.
- The calculated computation time might change every time as it could depend on the operating system and other system features.

8 Conclusion and future scope

CA has been proved to be effective in producing optimized test data. A large number of test data for all possible paths in a program under test were reduced without any redundancy. The reduced number of test data were effective in minimizing the overall cost, effort, and time of the testing phase in software development life cycle. In future, attempts can be made to extend the work by applying the algorithm to large datasets and for real-time applications as well. This potentially powerful optimization technique can be extended to study multi-objective optimization applications with various constraints, even to NP-hard problems. The studies can also focus on hybridization of CA with other metaheuristic algorithms.

References

- [1] Sommerville, I. (2004) *Software engineering*, International computer science series. ed: Addison Wesley.
- [2] Mathur, A. P. (2008) *Foundations of Software Testing*, Pearson Education India.
- [3] Mall, R. (2014) *Fundamentals of software engineering*, PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.

No.	Total Data	Optimization			Duration(in ms)			Iterations			Complexity		
		FA	HC	CA	FA	HC	CA	FA	HC	CA	FA	HC	CA
-	-												
P1	27	6	83	4	266	395	3	183	1715	214	11.2	14.48	2.11
P2	12	4	11	5	33	93	1	106	264	249	5.42	6.75	1.5
P3	12	2	12	4	58	4	2	68	396	48	5.34	6.24	1.5
P4	50	18	50	24	197	443	3	962	3000	280	4.4	6.5	2
P5	75	29	75	8	247	324	10	764	3000	436	12.5	16.25	2.25
P6	27	9	89	5	109	137	6	246	1715	179	9.32	15	2.11
P7	18	10	18	4	29	43	4	109	618	85	6.57	10.74	1.89
P8	200	190	200	98	290	1729	8	19	3000	91	5.14	6.54	1.5
P9	15	10	16	8	10	7	1	119	400	70	5.34	6.5	1.5
P10	500	492	500	241	677	772	20	2420	3000	1840	5.37	7	1.62
P11	1000	977	1000	491	975	1446	620	1600	2500	53	5.5	7.22	1.86
P12	1000	994	1000	509	1056	1695	61	2300	3000	1001	6	6.54	2
P13	1000	994	1000	489	659	1694	47	2200	3000	1577	6.5	6.58	1.62
P14	100	86	100	49	406	202	4	2341	3000	812	5.2	7.82	1.62
P15	70	69	70	25	234	246	7	2141	3000	419	7.25	12	1.75
P16	8	2	8	2	117	8	1	38	172	24	4.58	7.5	1.5
P17	16	8	38	4	28	69	2	80	305	78	8.56	15	2.11
P18	27	11	157	7	34	267	3	434	3000	205	6.42	9.82	1.89
P19	27	6	126	11	48	503	2	137	3000	142	4.28	7.25	1.67
P20	50	35	50	22	384	304	4	1800	3000	267	4.76	6.76	1.62
P21	16	5	16	3	82	87	6	121	491	114	7.14	9.75	1.88
P22	16	16	52	4	51	299	2	104	264	83	9.16	14.48	2
P23	27	1	143	9	153	298	3	361	3000	148	6.87	10.86	1.89
P24	10	3	10	1	2	100	5	123	738	538	2.12	3.25	1.88
P25	13	7	13	3	3	5	5	124	1527	578	2.12	3.25	1.88
P26	39	16	13	11	62	173	5	377	3000	260	6.36	9.75	1.88
P27	6	6	6	2	2	3	1	39	210	29	2.14	3.62	1.56
P28	6	6	6	2	2	2	1	12	210	31	2.14	3.62	1.56
P29	450	442	450	253	681	599	17	2400	3000	2300	5.29	6.63	2
P30	600	593	600	297	1130	776	23	2200	3000	1300	4.76	6.76	1.88
P31	27	6	126	10	22	279	2	60	3000	125	4.28	7.24	1.67
P32	29	18	29	3	70	93	4	164	650	187	12.01	17.36	2.22
P33	29	18	29	3	70	93	4	164	650	187	12.01	17.38	2.22
P34	29	18	29	3	70	93	4	164	650	187	12.01	17.37	2.22
P35	60	22	60	24	128	158	7	682	3000	124	10.68	13.52	1.62
P36	14	12	6	6	117	24	2	145	1762	66	2.43	4	1.62
P37	10	74	100	18	264	255	6	1874	3000	786	9.26	13	2.25
P38	100	100	100	50	442	344	4	2975	3000	645	4.86	7.5	1.75
P39	118	77	120	13	489	602	49	1684	3000	1325	17.01	28	2.78
P40	7	4	7	2	49	11	7	36	162	125	4.86	8	1.62
P41	15	5	14	6	22	7	2	88	330	137	6.75	9.36	1.75
P42	8	3	16	4	3	3	2	82	464	137	2.33	3.62	1.56
P43	60	22	60	24	128	158	7	682	3000	124	10.68	13.52	1.62
P44	23	23	23	5	113	73	3	161	1126	141	8.56	14.48	2
P45	30	30	30	14	131	77	7	540	3000	130	5	7.04	1.62
P46	24	13	24	7	20	89	87	385	3000	1712	2.43	3.38	1.75
P47	24	13	24	7	20	89	87	385	3000	1712	2.43	3.38	1.75
P48	500	496	500	51	1007	193	60	2246	3000	1518	2.43	3.38	2
P49	20	4	20	7	8	51	7	154	1419	92	5	6.5	1.56
P50	14	10	14	4	65	24	6	94	624	61	4.86	8	1.67

Table 8: Comparison results for JAVA programs

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	6715135	49	137043.6	21	7.31	1.48
Columns	204886.9	2	102443.4	15.7	1.15	3.08
Error	636560.4	98	6495.515	-	-	-
Total	7556583	149	-	-	-	-

Table 9: ANOVA results based on Optimization

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	8844974	49	180509.7	3.14	7.18	1.48
Columns	2132012	2	1066006	18.56	1.46	3.08
Error	5627039	98	57418.77	-	-	-
Total	16604025	149	-	-	-	-

Table 10: ANOVA Result Of Execution Time/ Duration

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	88715082	49	1810512	4.52	1.08	1.48
Columns	57403410	2	28701705	71.74	6.43	3.08
Error	39204947	98	400050.5	-	-	-
Total	185000000	149	-	-	-	-

Table 11: ANOVA Result Of Iteration

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	1160.181	49	23.67	3.88	5.7	1.48
Columns	1386.396	2	693.19	113.63	2.96	3.08
Error	597.8448	98	6.1	-	-	-
Total	3144.421	149	-	-	-	-

Table 12: ANOVA Result Of Cyclomatic Complexity

-	FA	HC	CA
Mean	120.3	144.86	57.12
Standard Error	36.80852	36.27629	18.16194
Median	14.5	44	7
Mode	6	16	4
Standard Deviation	260.2755	256.5121	128.4243
Minimum	1	6	1
Maximum	994	1000	509
Sum	6015	7243	2856
Count	50	50	50

Table 13: Descriptive Statistics For The Result Of Optimized Test data

-	FA	HC	CA
Mean	225.26	308.78	24.68
Standard Error	42.72953	62.64793	12.50474
Median	95.5	147.5	4.5
Mode	2	93	2
Standard Deviation	302.1434	442.9877	88.42186
Minimum	2	2	1
Maximum	1130	1729	620
Sum	11263	15439	1234
Count	50	50	50

Table 14: Descriptive Statistics For The Duration Of Test data

-	FA	HC	CA
Mean	733.86	1887.24	459.44
Standard Error	127.2218	170.9619	82.45547
Median	173.5	2750	183
Mode	164	3000	187
Standard Deviation	899.5941	1208.883	583.0482
Minimum	12	162	24
Maximum	2975	3000	2300
Sum	36693	94362	22972
Count	50	50	50

Table 15: Descriptive Statistics For The Iteration

-	FA	HC	CA
Mean	6.3526	9.2098	1.8256
Standard Error	0.466785	0.705869	0.037717
Median	5.355	7.375	1.75
Mode	2.43	14.48	1.62
Standard Deviation	3.300668	4.991246	0.266697
Minimum	2.12	3.25	1.5
Maximum	17.01	28	2.78
Sum	317.63	460.49	91.28
Count	50	50	50

Table 16: Descriptive Statistics For Complexity

[4] Ramamoorthy, C. V., and Ho, S. B. F. (1975) Testing large software with automated software evaluation systems, *In ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, ACM, pp. 382-394.

[5] Miller, W., and Spooner, D. L. (1976) Automatic generation of floating-point test data, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, IEEE, pp. 223.

[6] Clarke, L. A. (1976) A system to generate test data and symbolically execute programs, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, IEEE, pp. 215-222.

[7] Prather, R. E., and Myers Jr, J. P. (1987) The path prefix software testing strategy, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, IEEE, pp. 761-766.

[8] Korel, B. (1990) The path prefix software testing strategy, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, IEEE, pp. 870-879.

[9] Ferguson, R., and Korel, B. (1996) The chaining approach for software test data generation, *IACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM)*, ACM, pp. 63-86.

[10] Korel, B., and Al-Yami, A. M. (1996) Assertion-oriented automated test data generation, *18th international conference on Software engineering*, IEEE, pp. 71-80.

[11] Fröhlich, P., and Link, J. (2000) Automated test case generation from dynamic models, *In ECOOP 2000 Object-Oriented Programming*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 472-491.

[12] Kim, S., Clark, J. A., and McDermid, J. A. (2000) Class mutation: Mutation testing for object-oriented programs, *Proc. Net. ObjectDays*, Citeseer, pp. 9-12.

[13] Xiao, M., El-Attar, M., Reformat, M., and Miller, J. (2007) Empirical evaluation of optimization algorithms when used in goal-oriented automated test data generation techniques, *Empirical Software Engineering*, Springer, pp. 183–239.

- [14] Harman, M. (2007) The current state and future of search based software engineering, *Future of Software Engineering*, IEEE, pp. 342-357.
- [15] Ernst, M. D., Cockrell, J., Griswold, W. G., and Notkin, D. (2001) The current state and future of search based software engineering, *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, IEEE, pp. 99-123.
- [16] Papadakis, M., and Malevris, N. (2010) Automatic mutation test case generation via dynamic symbolic execution, *IEEE 21st international symposium on Software reliability engineering (ISSRE)*, IEEE, pp. 121-130.
- [17] Fraser, G., and Arcuri, A. (2011) Evolutionary generation of whole test suites, *11th International Conference on Quality Software (QSIC)*, IEEE, pp. 31-40.
- [18] Sofokleous, A. A., and Andreou, A. S. (2008) Automatic, evolutionary test data generation for dynamic software testing, *Journal of Systems and Software*, IEEE, pp. 1883-1898.
- [19] Fraser, G., and Arcuri, A. (2011) Evosuite: automatic test suite generation for object-oriented software, *In Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGSOFT symposium and the 13th European conference on Foundations of software engineering*, ACM, pp. 416-419.
- [20] McMinn, P. (2004) Search-based software test data generation: A survey, *Software Testing Verification and Reliability*, Wiley, pp. 105-156.
- [21] Wappler, S., and Wegener, J. (2006) Evolutionary unit testing of object-oriented software using strongly-typed genetic programming, *In Proceedings of the 8th annual conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation*, ACM, pp. 1925-1932.
- [22] Ribeiro, J. C. B. (2008) Search-based test case generation for object-oriented java software using strongly-typed genetic programming, *In Proceedings of the 10th annual conference companion on Genetic and evolutionary computation*, ACM, pp. 1819-1822.
- [23] Tonella, P. (2005) Reverse engineering of object oriented code, *In Proceedings of the 27th international conference on Software engineering*, ACM, pp. 724-725.
- [24] Lakhotia, K., Harman, M., and McMinn, P. (2007) A multi-objective approach to search-based test data generation, *In Proceedings of the 9th annual conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation*, ACM, pp. 1098-1105.
- [25] Yang, X. S., and Deb, S. (2010) Engineering optimisation by cuckoo search, *International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Optimisation*, Inderscience, pp. 330-343.
- [26] Rajabioun, R. (2011) Cuckoo optimization algorithm, *Applied soft computing*, Elsevier, pp. 5508-5518.
- [27] Walton, S., Hassan, O., Morgan, K., and Brown, M. R. (2011) Modified cuckoo search: a new gradient free optimisation algorithm, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, Elsevier, pp. 710-718.
- [28] Yildiz, A. R. (2013) Cuckoo search algorithm for the selection of optimal machining parameters in milling operations, *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Springer, pp. 55-61.
- [29] Valian, E., Mohanna, S., and Tavakoli, S. (2011) Improved cuckoo search algorithm for feedforward neural network training, *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, Elsevier, pp. 36-43.
- [30] Civicioglu, P., and Besdok, E. (2013) A conceptual comparison of the Cuckoo-search, particle swarm optimization, differential evolution and artificial bee colony algorithms, *Artificial Intelligence Review*, Springer, pp. 315-346.
- [31] Gandomi, A. H., Talatahari, S., Yang, X. S., and Deb, S. (2013) Design optimization of truss structures using cuckoo search algorithm, *The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings*, Wiley, pp. 1330-1349.
- [32] Bulatovic, R. R., Đorđević, S. R., and Đorđević, V. S. (2013) Cuckoo search algorithm: a metaheuristic approach to solving the problem of optimum synthesis of a six-bar double dwell linkage, *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, Elsevier, pp. 1-13.
- [33] Sur, C., and Shukla, A. (2014) Discrete Cuckoo Search Optimization Algorithm for Combinatorial Optimization of Vehicle Route in Graph Based Road Network, *In Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Soft Computing for Problem Solving*, Springer, pp. 307-320.
- [34] Swain, K. B., Solanki, S. S., and Mahakula, A. K. (2014) Bio inspired cuckoo search algorithm based neural network and its application to noise cancellation, *International Conference on Signal Processing and Integrated Networks*, IEEE, pp. 632-635.
- [35] Prakash, M., Saranya, R., Jothi, K. R., and Vigneshwaran, A. (2012) An optimal job scheduling in grid using cuckoo algorithm, *International Journal Computer Science Telecomm*, pp. 65-69.
- [36] Wang, G. G., Gandomi, A. H., Zhao, X., and Chu, H. C. E. (2016) Hybridizing harmony search algorithm with cuckoo search for global numerical optimization, *Soft Computing*, Springer, pp. 273-285.
- [37] Bhandari, A. K., Singh, V. K., Kumar, A., and Singh, G. K. (2014) Cuckoo search algorithm and wind

- driven optimization based study of satellite image segmentation for multilevel thresholding using Kapur's entropy, *Expert Systems with Applications*, Elsevier, pp. 3538-3560.
- [38] Mala, D. J., and Mohan, V. (2010) Quality improvement and optimization of test cases: a hybrid genetic algorithm based approach, *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes*, ACM, pp. 1-14.
- [39] Lotem, A., Nakamura, H., and Zahavi, A. (1992) Rejection of cuckoo eggs in relation to host age: a possible evolutionary equilibrium, *Behavioral Ecology*, ISBE, pp. 128-132.
- [40] Yildiz, A. R. (2009) An effective hybrid immune-hill climbing optimization approach for solving design and manufacturing optimization problems in industry, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, Elsevier, pp. 2773-2780.
- [41] Devadas, S., Ma, H. K. T., Newton, A. R., and Sangiovanni-Vincentelli, A. (1988) Synthesis and optimization procedures for fully and easily testable sequential machines, *In International Conference on New Frontiers in Testing*, IEEE, pp. 621-630.
- [42] Chun, J. S., Jung, H. K., and Hahn, S. Y. (1998) A study on comparison of optimization performances between immune algorithm and other heuristic algorithms, *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, IEEE, pp. 2972-2975.
- [43] Ahmed, B. S., Abdulsamad, T. S., and Potrus, M. Y. (2015) Achievement of minimized combinatorial test suite for configuration-aware software functional testing using the Cuckoo search algorithm, *Information and Software Technology*, Elsevier, pp. 13-29.
- [44] Gandomi, A. H., Yang, X. S., and Alavi, A. H. (2013) Cuckoo search algorithm: a metaheuristic approach to solve structural optimization problems, *Engineering with computers*, Springer, pp. 17-35.
- [45] Elazim, S. A., and Ali, E. S. (2016) Optimal Power System Stabilizers design via Cuckoo Search algorithm, *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, Elsevier, pp. 99-107.
- [46] Papadakis, M., and Malevris, N. (2012) Mutation based test case generation via a path selection strategy, *Information and Software Technology*, 54(9), pp. 915-932.
- [47] Papadakis, M., and Malevris, N. (2011) Automatically performing weak mutation with the aid of symbolic execution, concolic testing and search-based testing, *Software Quality Journal*, 19(4), pp. 691-723.
- [48] Papadakis, M., and Malevris, N. (2013) Searching and generating test inputs for mutation testing, *SpringerPlus*, 2(1), pp. 1.

